Interview Summary	Application No.	_	Applicant(s)		
	09/020,743		MACK, DAVID H		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Jeffrey Siew		1656		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Jeffrey Siew</u> .	(3)		·		
(2) Mr. Joe Liebeschuetz.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 21 March 2001.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	t)∏ applicant's re _l	presentative	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-10,12-34 and 36-52</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>discussed applicants arguments over the prior art of record</u>					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sechecked).	parate record of th	e substance	e of the interview(if box is		
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	. (See MPEP Sect MONTH FROM TH	ion 713.04) IIS INTER\	If a reply to the last Office //IEW DATE TO FILE A		
		÷			
	s .				
		,			
ч					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an					
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Exam	niner's signa	ature, if required		

Interview Summary	Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/020,743		MACK, DAVID H		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Jeffrey Siew	<i>!</i>	1656		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTG	O personnel):				
(1) <u>Jeffrey Siew</u> .	(3)				
(2) Joseph Leibeschuetz.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 26 March 2001.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applican	it's representativ	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.			·	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-10,12-34 & 36-52</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <u>discussed and agreed amendment</u> .					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	copy of the am				
i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a checked).	separate record	l of the substance	e of the interview	(if box is	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ON STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	W. (See MPEP E MONTH FRO	Section 713.04) OM THIS INTER\	. If a reply to the /IEW DATE TO I	e last Office FILE A	
	,				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signa	ature, if required		